PROTECTION OF THE SZACHOWNICA CAVE AS AN EXAMPLE OF SAVING A VALUABLE BAT WINTERING SHELTER
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Protection of the Szachownica cave as an example of saving a valuable bat wintering shelter. — Ignaczak, M., Postawa, T. — The Szachownica cave (Kraków-Wieluń Upland, Poland) is one of the four largest wintering shelters for bats in Poland. Since the cessation of limestone mining, the number of wintering bats increased from 300 to more than 2,900 individuals. In recent years, there has been seen a gradual worsening of the cave structure due to frost destruction, which leads to the possible disintegration of a major part of the cave. To prevent damage of the cave the mining methods were used, because they can i) restrict access to the bats shelters, and ii) change microclimate. Monitoring of the abundance of bats was carried out twice during a winter: in end of January and in March. The temperature was measured in 3 points in the cave and in 1 outside. Securing the cave: i) only in minor degree changed the temperature amplitude, but not the mean temperature, whereas penetration of water (and also frost damage) was limited, ii) did not change either the species composition or species-specific long-term trends in the amount of bats hibernating in the cave. However, to assess fully the impact of changes, monitoring of both the bat fauna and microclimate will be continued during the next several years.
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Introduction

Large underground systems with differential microclimate are important locations for hibernation of bats (Mitchell-Jones, 2016). However, their availability is restricted mainly to the karstic regions, while in areas without natural caves usually man-made undergrounds such as fortifications (fortress, bunkers) (Hutson et al., 2001), cellars, or even water wells (Ignaczak, 2009) provide hibernating places. Natural caves, either karstic or tectonic, might be stable even for thousands of years, as it’s evidenced by the accumulated sediments containing animal bone remains (Wołoszyn, 1988).
Man-made or natural undergrounds changed due to human activity can be much less stable, threatened by destruction because of erosion of the stability of the rock mass or other random reasons. The disintegration of such important shelters can result in long-term and irreversible changes in the bat fauna, not only in a local scale, but also over a large area (Furey, Racey, 2016).

In Poland, the first three largest winter shelters for bats are man-made: fortification (MRU — Cichocki et al., 2015), Baszta Michałowska (Lesiński et al., 2008), and drainage systems in Olśnica (Wojtaszyn et al., 2013). This wintering site is located more than 250 km far from the nearest natural undergrounds. The forth in the number of wintering bats is the Szachownica cave (central Poland, Kraków-Wiełuń Upland), a large karstic underground system, partially changed during limestone exploitation (Ignaczak, Lesiński, 2012).

Since the cessation of limestone mining, the number of wintering bats increased from approx. 300 individuals (in 1982) to more than 2,900 individuals of 11 bat species during recent years (Lesiński et al., 2011). The Szachownica cave comprise a wintering place both for migratory bat species for medium distances: M. myotis, M. nattereri, and sedentary species as well: B. barbastellus. Constant increases in the number of wintering bats were reported, especially for the most abundant species, which is associated with both the general trends in populations and the appearance of animals from new areas (Lesiński et al., 2011). Typical for this cave is a very diverse microclimate and a large number of crevices providing a hideout for many bat species, e.g. for the rare M. bechsteinii (up to 50 ind.) or M. dasycneme (up to 10 ind.). The cave and its surroundings are also a place of mass autumn activity of bats, where during one night were caught up to 900 ind. belonging to 10 bat species, making it the largest swarming site in Poland (Ignaczak, Lesiński, 2012). Since 2007, the natural reserve “Szachownica cave” is included into the Natura2000 net as a “Special area of conservation Szachownica PLH240004”.

Underground excavations of limestone changed the shape of this cave and caused a significant violation in its microclimate (Głazek et al., 1978). In recent years, there has been a gradual worsening caused by frost destruction, which leads to the possibility of the destruction of a major part of the cave (Polonius 2001), including wintering locations, mainly of B. barbastellus and P. auritus. To prevent the damage of such a valuable wintering place, the Regional Directorate for Environment Protection in Katowice decided to protect the ceiling using methods of the mining industry (LIFE12 NAT/PL/000012). Since the mining methods can i) restrict access to the bats shelters (crevices), and ii) change the microclimate, we have conducted a complex monitoring of both the bat fauna and microclimate changes before, during and after the securing works.

Material and methods

Study area

The natural reserve "Szachownica cave" (18°48’39” E, 51°03’22” N) is situated in the middle of the Wiełuń Upland, which is the northern part of Kraków-Wiełuń Upland (central Poland). It includes a wooded limestone hill Krzemienowa Góra (224 m) with an area of 12.70 ha, together with an extensive cave split by a quarry during the limestone excavation: Szachownica I with a length of about 700 m, Szachownica II with a length of about 200 m, and Szachownica III–V — small fragments in the southern part of the quarry (Górny, Szelerewicz, 2010).

The exploitation of limestone was ceased in 1962. Because of mining and underground excavation of limestone, natural corridors were artificially widened to the size of large chambers. Currently in Szachownica I, the amount of natural parts is about 40 % of the total length.

To protect this unique geological object, a proglacial cave — special genetic types of cave in glaciated areas, in 1978 a geological reserve was established. The first notice about mass wintering of bats comes from the report "Project of the geological reserve Szachownica" (Bednarek et al., 1977). Regular monitoring of bats hibernating in this cave started at the beginning of the 1980s and it is preceded until today. Monitoring of the numbers of bats was carried out twice during the winter: in end of January and in March, due to the large differences in species composition between the be-
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Beginning and the end of winter. Among wintering species the most abundant are *M. nattereri* (1201 ind.), *B. barbastellus* (992 ind.), *M. myotis* (670 ind.), *P. auritus* (378 ind.), while *M. daubentonii* (212 ind.) and *M. mystacinus/brandtii* (108 ind.) are the less abundant ones. The other bat species hibernate in small numbers (Hejduk, Radzicki, 1996; Ignaczak, Lesiński, 2012).

Preliminary studies of the cave’s microclimate (temperature and humidity) were conducted by Hejduk and Radzicki (1996), but, as in this case and later studies, the measurements were performed at a height of 1.5 m above the cave floor. Temperature variations in the natural corridors were much lower than in the corridors artificially extended, however this variation is significant and the cave is considered as underground with dynamic microclimate (Glazek et al., 1978; Polonić, 2001).

The first plan for the protection of the Szachownica cave from collapsing was prepared in 1996, but it has been never implemented. During the following years the “Critical revision of the ability to protect the cave” (2001) and “Habitat Restoration Management Plan” (2007) were prepared too. In 2015, the operation for protection of the cave was started, and it was divided into 2 stages: i) 3.07–21.09.2015 — the main work with securing of the ceiling of the cave, and ii) 8.06–23.09.2016 — finishing the works. All securing works were carried out during off-season of bats hibernation. During the summer, the work was carried out only in daylight to minimize the impact on either the feeding activity or swarming of bats. The caves were secured by: i) anchoring the floor — the strengthening of the rock mass (> 230 anchors) and securing the metal mesh (453 m² metal wire mesh) were made; ii) injection of glue (bonding rock layers and cutting off of water from the surface) — 74.5 tons of non-toxic mineral and organic glue were used; iii) construction of pillars to underpin the roof — 3 pillars were built.

**Temperature**

Both for bats and to assess the degree of destruction of the roof of the cave it is important to check the conditions in places of bats hibernation. Data loggers (DS1923 Thermochron iButton, Maxim Integrated Products, USA, accuracy 0.5 °C, resolution 0.5 °C) were placed in three locations in the cave: Złomisk hall (logger 1), Przejściowa hall (logger 2), Równoległy passage (logger 3), and one was placed outside the cave to measure the outside temperature (logger 4). The temperature was recorded with 2-h intervals throughout the study. Measurements were taken during three winter seasons: 2009/10 (before cave protection), 2014/15 (the beginning of the safety work), and 2015/16 (after the completed work). Two parameters were counted: i) amplitude — due to different influence of weather condition/outside on different part of cave, ii) minimum daily temperature, due to its effect on frost weathering.

Moreover, weather parameters such as minimal temperature (°C), precipitation (mm) and snow cover (cm), were used from the nearest meteorological station’s data (Pyrzowice).

**Bats**

Bat census was carried out twice during the hibernation period: at the end of January and at the beginning of March (highest abundance of hibernating bats). To compare data with microclimate monitoring we used monitoring data from consecutive years: 2009/10: 31.01.2010 and 07.03.2010; 2014/15: 31.01.2015 and 07.03.2015; 2015/16: 30.01.2016 and 05.03.2016. Counts were performed without removing bats from the roost’s walls. Bats were found using LED headlamps, determination were performed according to species-specific features. Single individuals and small clusters were directly counted, larger clusters were photographed using a digital camera and the bats were counted from photos. Most of the inhabited parts of the cave by the most abundant species were placed on the cave’s scheme to evaluate the importance of each part of the Szachownica cave (fig. 1).

**Statistics**

To evaluate differences between microclimate characters two-way ANOVA-s were used with Tukey–Kramer post-hoc test. A significance criterion of *P*<0.05 was used for all statistical tests. Results are reported as mean ± SD.

Statistical analyses were performed by using STATISTICA 6.0.
Results

Weather conditions

Over the 3 winter seasons, differences were noted in the minimal daily temperature and snow cover, but not in precipitation. The lowest mean temperatures were recorded in the season 2009/10, while the remaining hibernation seasons (2014/15 and 2015/16) had similar mean temperatures (fig. 2). Snow cover was the largest in 2009/10, less in 2014/15, and the smaller in 2015/16 (all differences significant). In turn, the precipitation over those three seasons was similar with no significant differences (tab. 1).

Cave ambient temperature

Mean daily amplitude of temperatures prevailing outside the cave entrance did not differ significantly between seasons (logger 4: $F = 0.48$, $p = 0.62$), just as in the Równolegly passage — these are parts of static microclimate (logger 3: $F = 1.14$, $p = 0.323$). Significant differences were found in Złomisk hall (logger 1: $F = 8.61$, $p = 0.0002$) and in Przejściowa hall (logger 2: $F = 14.8$, $p < 0.0001$). In Złomisk hall, the daily amplitude was significantly higher in 2015/16 than during 2009/10 ($p = 0.004$) and 2014/15 ($p = 0.0003$) seasons, while seasons 2009/10 and 2014/15 were similar (0.737). In turn, in Przejściowa hall, the daily amplitude from 2015/16 was significantly lower than during previous years, both from 2009/10 ($p < 0.0001$) and 2014/15 ($p < 0.0001$). The seasons 2009/10 and 2014/15 did not differ in mean daily amplitude ($p = 0.999$). In the stable part of the cave, the Równolegly passage, the daily amplitude did not differ between all seasons.

Minimum daily temperatures were significantly different between the seasons in each location (tab. 1). The lowest mean temperature outside the cave was noted in season 2009/10 and was lower from both 2014/15 ($p < 0.0001$) and 2015/16 ($p < 0.0001$). In turn, 2014/15 and 2015/16 had similar mean temperature ($p < 0.0001$). In Złomisk hall, the highest temperature was noted in 2014/15 and it was significantly higher than in 2009/10 ($p < 0.0001$) and 2015/16 ($p < 0.0001$). In turn, in Przejściowa hall, the lowest minimal temperatures were noted in 2009/10, following by higher in 2014/15, and the highest in 2015/16 with significant differences from all seasons.

A similar pattern was noted for the Równolegly passage (tab. 1).
Table 1. Results from ANOVA analyses to compare weather conditions outside of the cave (a) with the temperature prevailing in the Szachownica cave (b). Logger 1 — Złomisk hall, logger 2 — Przejściowa hall, logger 3 — Równoległy passage (location marked on fig. 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microclimatic parameters</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>2009/2010 aver ± SD</th>
<th>2014/15 aver ± SD</th>
<th>2015/16 aver ± SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) outside of the cave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum temperature [°C]</td>
<td>2; 267</td>
<td>-5.6±5.530</td>
<td>-2.6±3.796</td>
<td>-2.03±5.228</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>precipitation [mm]</td>
<td>2; 196</td>
<td>1.57±2.487</td>
<td>1.52±2.934</td>
<td>2.26±3.496</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.285321 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snow [cm]</td>
<td>2; 135</td>
<td>14.81±10.520</td>
<td>6.97±4.816</td>
<td>1.93±2.154</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) in the Szachownica cave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature amplitude [°C]</td>
<td>outside (4)</td>
<td>5.6±4.25</td>
<td>5.2±3.58</td>
<td>5.1±2.85</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.6186 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 1</td>
<td>0.23±0.298</td>
<td>0.26±0.159</td>
<td>0.39±0.345</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.0002 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 2</td>
<td>0.94±0.758</td>
<td>0.94±0.922</td>
<td>0.44±0.420</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 3</td>
<td>0.09±0.196</td>
<td>0.06±0.085</td>
<td>0.09±0.192</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.3225 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature minimum [°C]</td>
<td>outside (4)</td>
<td>-4.06±5.736</td>
<td>-0.22±3.397</td>
<td>-0.19±4.848</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 1</td>
<td>1.65±0.909</td>
<td>3.50±0.613</td>
<td>3.29±0.920</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 2</td>
<td>-0.46±2.762</td>
<td>2.69±1.032</td>
<td>3.61±1.117</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logger 3</td>
<td>2.71±0.848</td>
<td>5.35±0.392</td>
<td>5.91±0.620</td>
<td>0.39±0.239</td>
<td>671.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bats monitoring**

The total number of bats wintering in the Szachownica cave ranged from 1235 in January and 1820 in March 2009/10, followed by 2173 and 2334 in 2014/15 to 2280 and 2534 individuals in 2015/16. For the two most numerous bat species, *M. myotis* and *M. nattereri*, a constant trend of growth in number during both counts in January and March was recorded. For other species, however, in both terms of counts significant fluctuations of abundance were observed, with the greatest differences noted for *B. barbastellus* and *P. auritus*, while differences for *M. mystacinus/brandtii* and *M. daubentonii* were smaller (fig. 3).

The increase of the number of *M. myotis* between season 2009/10 and 2014/15 was 56 % for January counting and 41 % for March counting, and between seasons 2014/15 and 2015/16 was similar for both counts amounted 19 % and 20 % respectively. A similar pattern was observed for *M. nattereri*, where between season 2009/10 and 2014/15 the abundance of this species has increased to a similar extent both for January counts (11 %) and March counts (15 %), while between season 2014/15 and 2015/16 the increase of abundance was twice higher for January monitoring (32 %) than for March monitoring (16 %).

Other species showed different trends depending on the date of the winter counts. *B. barbastellus* between season 2009/10 and 2014/15 showed a 65 % increase in number for the January count and only 10 % for the March visit, and between winter 2014/15 and 2015/16 a similar decline (48 % and 38 %) were noted for both counts. On the other hand, between winter 2009/10 and 2015/16, there was a 34 % increase in number for the January counts, and a 47 % decline for the March counts. In turn, *P. auritus* between season 2009/10 and 2014/15 showed a 46 % increase in number for the January count, and a 82 % decrease in March, while between winter 2014/15 and 2015/16 57 % and 13 % declines were noted, respectively. On the other hand, between winters 2009/10 and 2015/16 there was a 16 % increase in the number of bats in January, while a 52 % decline was noted for the March counts. For a pair of hardly distinguishable species: *M. mystacinus/brandtii*, between season 2009/10 and 2014/15 there was a similar increase in number for January (50 %) and for March counting’s (57 %), and between winter 2014/15 and 2015/16 for January a slight increase in number (3 %) was recorded, while in March a slight decline (8 %). For *M. daubentonii*, between winter 2009/10 and 2014/15 a 12 % decline for the January counting was noted, while a 43 % in-
crease in number was found for March monitoring. Between seasons 2014/15 and 2015/16, a 5% decline in number was noted in January, and a 29% decline during March counting.

Discussion

Microclimate

The temperature in the dynamic parts of the Szachownica cave differs between seasons and is significantly correlated with the outdoor conditions. However, our results in some degree differ from the previous observations. The amplitude of temperature recorded under the ceiling of the cave, besides the vicinity of the entrance openings, and in the Złomisk hall, and in the Przejściowa hall, is characterized by small amplitudes and significantly differs from previous microclimatic cave characteristics (Głazek et al., 1978; Polonius, 2001). Differences in the range of climatic zones are the result of the use of measurements of microclimate parameters at different heights — they were usually made 0.5 to 1.5 m high above the floor (Piasecki, Kwiatkowski, 1989).

The temperature at bat wintering shelters (crevices) however, is much more modeled by the rock temperature than by air circulation (Postawa, 2000; authors’ data). This is evident for wintering species in hidden places and tolerant to significant wintering temperature fluctuations, for which the termopreferendum is given from –2°C for *P. auritus* (Nagel, Nagel, 1991) and from –3°C for *B. barbastellus* (Bogdanowicz, Urbańczyk, 1983; Harmata, 1973).
In contradiction to the previous research, in spite of strong frosts in 2009/10 we did not notice the temperature below 0°C in the Żłomisk hall (the main wintering location of P. auritus), whereas in the Przejściowa hall such readings were only 10% (the main wintering location of B. barbastellus). In the last two winters, we did not recorded temperatures below 0°C for both measuring points. Therefore, in order to characterize fully the microclimate and to reflect the vertical stratification of the temperature, measurements should be made not only at the level of the floor or up to 1.5 m above it, but also under the ceiling.

The microclimate of an underground is determined by several parameters such as length, number of entrances, local habitat (Perry, 2013), and the change of one of them can change the range of the particular zones, and as a result — affect the fauna of wintering there bats.

As a result of the protection work carried out — the construction of an additional pillar in the central part of the Przejściowa hall, the air circulation has changed in a certain degree. This is evidenced by the higher amplitude of the temperature in the Żłomisk hall and smaller in the Przejściowa hall; such differences in turn were not observed in the static parts. In spite of these differences, however, there were no changes in the preferences of bat wintering sites within the cave. Moreover, an additional effect of preventing water penetration from the surface inside the cave is the absence of ice formation in the central part of the Przejściowa hall, which, in turn, caused mortality of B. barbastellus and P. auritus even in mild winters (authors’ data).

**Bats**

The increase in bat abundance was recorded for the two most numerous species, preferring the static microclimate: M. myotis and M. nattereri. Continuous increase in both species has been recorded since 2000, with relatively large fluctuations both between and within the years (Lesiński et al., 2011). Minor differences in rates of increase were noted for the pairs of sibling species: M. mystacinus/brandtii — similar to M. myotis and M. nattereri, these two species in the Szachownica cave usually hibernated in the zone of static microclimate. Therefore, potential impact of cave ceiling protection for these species is rather insufficient. In turn for B. barbastellus and P. auritus — species preferring dynamic parts of the cave during hibernation, in the winter 2015/16 (after the carried work) were noted less abundant than in the previous winter but higher than in 2009/10. Both species are characterized by significant fluctuations in abundance both between seasons and within the same seasons — up to several hundred of percents (Lesiński et al., 2011). Differences in numbers may be the result of: i) population changes, ii) microclimate differences between the years (winter effect), and iii) cave protection effect (last season). In long-term monitoring — over 30 years, provided in the Szachownica cave, the beginning of the increase of bats abundance was slight, but since 2000 the increasing tendency seems to be faster for M. myotis, M. nattereri, M. brandtii/mystacinus, B. barbastellus and P. auritus but not for M. daubentoni (Lesiński et al., 2011; Ignaczak, Lesiński, 2012). These trends could be partly explained by the colonization by bats of a new winter roost, however in other large winter shelters we noted similar rate of increase (Fuszara et al., 2010) and not only in Poland (Uhrin et al., 2010; Haysom et al., 2014; Van der Meij et al., 2015).

The abundance of all numerous wintering bat species however is subjected to considerable fluctuations. It is larger in species with a broader thermopreferendum: B. barbastellus and P. auritus, and smaller in species wintering under stable conditions: M. myotis, M. nattereri, M. brandtii/mystacinus and M. daubentoni (Lesiński, 1986; Nagel, Nagel, 1991; Postawa, 2000). The reason for the differences in the number of bats both between seasons and within the season appears to be the conditions outside the cave; however, their impact seems to be species-specific. The largest fluctuation was noted in sedentary bat species, but with wider toleration for temperature amplitude. These two species can use less isolated shelters during warmer days in winter and more hidden during heavy frosts (Harmata, 1973; Lesiński, 1986). In turn, species that hibernate in static zones (with slight annual amplitude of temperature) migrated to the Szachownica cave from a distance even 90 km far (Wojtaszyn et al., 2010). It is possible that fluctuation in bats abundance between seasons may be caused by climatic variations during migration from breeding/swarming sites to wintering places; however, this hypothesis requires additional research.
Finally, the potential cause for the lower abundance of *B. barbastellus* and *P. auritus* could be the effect of microclimate changes due to ceiling protection. However, this hypothesis seems to be the least possible — until now in both the abundance and distribution of wintering species in the Szachownica cave greater variations were noted (Ignaczak, Lesiński, 2012).

To assess fully the impact of changes, monitoring of bat fauna and microclimate will be continued during the next several years, with detailed analyses of the influence of weather factors such as the rain, snow, and temperature below 0°C.

**Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the project LIFE12 NAT/PL/000012: “Carrying out necessary conservation work on a territory of Szachownica designated Natura 2000”. The project is co-financed with the European Union funds under the financial instrument LIFE+ and the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management. Co-ordinating beneficiary: Regional Directorate for Environmental Protection in Katowice, Poland.

**References**


